Draft Policy – North Wootton

Link to draft policy and comments in full received from the draft consultation stage:

Summary of Comments & Suggested Response:

| Consultee | Nature of Response | Summary | Consultee Suggested Modification | Officer Response / Proposed Action |
|--|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Mrs Rachel Curtis North Wootton Parish Council | Object | CPRE Pledge. | All further allocations removed until such time that those already allocated | Targets are prescribed by Government if they are unrealistic or unfounded than CPRE should take this up with Government. We need to be shown to meeting the housing need and delivery tests |
| Mrs Rachel Curtis North Wootton Parish Council | Object | The LP review states Para 9.7 that North Wootton was included as one of the areas to accommodate the major housing growth around King's Lynn but no suitable sites were identified, instead within the North Wootton boundary there may be some scope for infilling. However, there is concern that this is contradicted in the LP review, in section 9.5.1E 3.1, item 2b which proposes 'a road link to the site's (Larkfleet/Bowbridge) northern boundary to avoid prejudicing the potential for further development beyond at some point in the future'. The Bowbridge layout shows an area of open space with surface water drainage ponds on its northern boundary – therefore clarification is needed on the location of this potential road link and how this may influence any potential development towards North Wootton. It is questionable where the local need is for the number of houses allocated for the local area. The Local | Remove Knights Hill from the Plan | The details of the Link Road will be provided by both the policy and future planning applications, noting that the majority of the Hall Lane site has outline planning permission. Whilst no land is proposed for allocation at North Wootton, we didn't want to preclude development potentially occurring at some time in the future so ensuing that |

| Consultee | Nature of | Summary | Consultee Suggested | Officer Response / |
|-----------|-----------|---|---------------------|------------------------------|
| | Response | | Modification | Proposed Action |
| | | Plan Review (LPR) makes reference Para 9.4.1.44 stating "new | | the current policy and |
| | | employment allocations are needed to provide job opportunities | | planning applications do |
| | | for residents in and around to King's Lynn to support the growth | | not sterilise land should it |
| | | aspirations for the town." However, large companies within the | | ever be required in the |
| | | town have recently closed e.g. Chalcroft and CITB due to close in | | future. Those sites on the |
| | | 2019. Will these new homes be sought by people who intend to | | brownfield register |
| | | commute to Cambridge or Norwich for their employment? King's | | currently are allocated or |
| | | Lynn railway station car park is inadequate to cope with demands | | already have planning |
| | | and the station itself is situated in one of the most congested | | permissions, so in effect |
| | | highway links with extremely high vehicle emissions. One of the | | development can take |
| | | biggest issues which concerns our Parishioners is the impact on | | place. The 'at least' |
| | | traffic that new development causes, when it congests, it | | wording is retained as the |
| | | negatively impacts local economic performance and, importantly, | | majority (80%) of sites |
| | | air quality. In its consideration of highways suitability for | | already have some form of |
| | | development at Knights Hill, Norfolk County Councils concerns | | planning permission, this |
| | | appeared to be that of fatalities and accidents with absolutely no | | was felt by the SADMP |
| | | regard for traffic congestion and the resultant damage to health, | | Inspector a very important |
| | | the environment and our economy. Continued use of empty | | inclusion within the Plan to |
| | | properties and brownfield sites is essential. Under local press | | ensure the BC meets its |
| | | articles it states that 2,000 new homes could be built in West | | housing requirements, and |
| | | Norfolk alone if the Boroughs available brownfield sites were | | therefore is retained within |
| | | developed. Much more time and effort to bring these sites forward | | the review. The Knights |
| | | has to be the preferred and thereby avoiding the easy alternative | | Hill development will likely |
| | | of absorbing greenfield and agricultural land. Brownfield town | | be removed from the |
| | | centre sites do not have the reliance on transport and will help | | review having had an |
| | | reduce pressure on the areas emissions and their use avoids the | | application refused by the |
| | | damaging effect to highways and the loss of valuable green and | | BC Planning Committee, |
| | | agricultural heritage land. Any village developments at all should | | Please see the Knights Hill |
| | | gradually evolve in tandem with sustainable service and facilities. | | Chapter for details. The |
| | | The words 'at least' before the number of dwellings allocated to | | traffic and associated |
| | | preferred sites is retained in the Local Plan Review and should be | | issues raised will be |

| Consultee | Nature of | Summary | Consultee Suggested | Officer Response / |
|-----------|-----------|--|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | Response | | Modification | Proposed Action |
| | | removed. Developers interpret this as an indication to cram in | | covered by the relevant |
| | | more dwellings, to the cost of the Woottons this happened with | | section within the Local |
| | | the Larkfleet and Bowbridge developments. Parish councils should | | Plan review. |
| | | have more say in the maximum number of dwellings in their area | | |
| | | and the figure registered as the maximum number of homes. | | |
| | | Parishes and their residents have the local knowledge to assess | | |
| | | such levels. Para 9.6.1 E4.1 - Following the recent unanimous | | |
| | | rejection of outline planning permission for the proposed | | |
| | | development at Knights Hill, this is still included in the Local Plan | | |
| | | for future housing development against the clear wish of all local | | |
| | | communities. The draft Local Plan contains many policies that | | |
| | | warrant our full support. In particular it is reassuring to note that it | | |
| | | is Council policy to avoid any future development encroaching on | | |
| | | the countryside by limiting urban and village sprawl, by keeping | | |
| | | development in rural areas to more modest levels that will meet | | |
| | | local needs whilst maintaining the vitality of settlements. | | |
| | | Furthermore, it is encouraging that the Council are aware of the | | |
| | | inadequate infrastructure in many parts of the Borough that would | | |
| | | be overwhelmed by any new largescale development. It is also is | | |
| | | welcomed that the Council wish to maintain the significant tourist | | |
| | | appeal of our area due to our unique environmental assets and our | | |
| | | historic built environment. To damage our village structure, | | |
| | | community and way of life would be catastrophic to the local | | |
| | | economy that is so reliant on tourism. Any development of the | | |
| | | proposed site at Knights Hill would contravene many clearly stated | | |
| | | Council policies. In addition, with its reliance on car transport, such | | |
| | | a development would bring a considerable increase in pollution, | | |
| | | reducing the already poor air quality in the town centre, and would | | |
| | | add further disruption to our already over-congested roads. | | |
| | | Therefore the Knights Hill site should be deleted from the Local | | |
| | | Plan. | | |

Consideration of Issues:

- Seeking assurance that no major development is planned for North Wootton the Local Plan review is not seeking to propose this. The South Wootton Hall Lane Allocation should not sterilise the land to north for ever more. Further details of the 'Link Road' will be provided through the detailed planning permissions.
- Concentration for development should be on Brownfield sites The Borough Council has published and maintained a Brownfield Register the majority of sites listed have some form of planning permission and so should be able to progress to being delivered. The plan seeks to allocate a balanced range of sites including Brownfield Sites. These sites can pose significant challenges in bringing forward through to completion, however the Borough Council has/and is seeking to bring a number forward such as NORA and the remaining land within the site. It is recognised that the nature of the borough being predominantly rural will involve the development of Greenfield sites particularly if the vitality/sustainability of rural areas is to be retained/increased.
- Removal of 'at least' most of the SADMP sites already have planning permission (approx. 80%). This was felt by the SADMP Inspector a very important inclusion within the Plan to ensure the BC meets its housing requirements, and therefore is retained within the Local Plan review.
- Removal of the Knights Hill Allocation this is considered in some detail in the Knights Hill section
- Question Housing Numbers/Targets These are now prescribed by Government if they are unrealistic or unfounded than CPRE should take this up with Government. The Borough Council needs to be shown to meeting the housing need as a key part of the Local Plan. However as part of the review process these will be considered in some detail within the relevant chapters.
- Railway Station and Transport issues The car parking and air quality issues will be covered in a future Borough Council Car Parking Strategy, the King's Lynn Transport Study and Strategy and the relevant sections of the Local Plan review.

Conclusion:

• **No change to the North Wootton Chapter** - No allocations were proposed by the current Local Plan for North Wootton and the Local Plan review proposes the same position.